



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,416	09/30/2003	Jui -Mei Hsu	CMOP0024USA	2415

27765 7590 10/27/2005

NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 506
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2871

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/605,416

Applicant(s)

HSU, JUI -MEI

Examiner

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P.
Nguyen

Art Unit

2871

All Participants:(1) (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen. TN(2) Tarifur Chowdhury.**Status of Application:** _____(3) Scott Margo.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 October 2005**Time:** 11 a.m.**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

U.S.C 103(a)

Claims discussed:

20 & 24

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 6,819,375


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the relative position of the upper substrate, the lower substrate, the color filter, and the reflection layer as recited in claims 20 & 24.

Applicant's representative presented arguments whether the cited reference could be used for claims 20 & 24 rejection. Even though Examiner didn't totally agree with Applicant's representative, Applicant's representative was notified that further consideration would be given to the reference as well on the claim to define patentability.